

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/047,499 | ZEIRA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Denise Tran | 2186 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: amendment after the first action

(1) Denise Tran. (3) _____.

(2) Edward Langer Reg. No. 30,564. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second

Claims discussed:

6, 8, and 10-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The message was left about a propose amendment to overcome the 112 second rejection in order to place the application in an early allowance condition; however, there was no response.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Denise Tran

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)